Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/054,180	HUANG ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Omar Rojas	2874
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Pending</u>		
(1) <u>Omar Rojas</u> .	(3)	
(2) Wei Te Chung.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 6 January 2005	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 1-9		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: In a previous telephone restriction requirement, claim 9 was restricted from examination. Mr. Chung agreed that claim 9 be cancelled due to the previous telephone restriction requirement, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.		
Part III.		
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.		
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
	AK PR	M ENAYET ULLAH IMARY EXAMINER
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Sid	anature – it appropriate)